	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/631,025	TICKTIN, RICHARD
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Necholus Ogden	1751
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Necholus Ogden</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Murrell Blackburn</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 January 2006	Time: <u>12:45</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: none		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applicant)	whhileaur a wehicagurarise of	griature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner discussed with applicant's rep. the expiration of the 6 month shorten statutory period that ended on 1-27-06. Applicant's rep. acknowledged that a response had not been filed but it was unintentional. The examiner suggested filing a petition to revive the application. The examiner further agreed to fax a copy of office action mailed 7-27-05.